Omeka-3 fatty acids in cardiovascular disease: re-assessing the evidence

With conflicting findings from studies of omega-3 fatty acids in cardiovascular disease, many healthcare professionals are uncertain of whether they show any benefit. BJC seminars are held to promote evidence-based practice and we recently convened a meeting of UK professionals working in cardiovascular disease to review the evidence for omega-3 fatty acids supplementation, as well as review some of the data relating to dietary fish oils. The panel considered how supplementation with omega-3 fatty acids might be used in the future. The meeting was sponsored with an unrestricted educational grant from Abbott Laboratories.

Background

Observations on fish consumption in general

Populations who consume large amounts of oily fish in their diet tend to have lower rates of coronary heart disease (CHD) and sudden cardiac death (SCD). Fish oils are rich in omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), which have demonstrable cardioprotective properties. In line with these observations, extensive epidemiological data – including large meta-analyses – demonstrate clear associations between both increased fish consumption and increased omega-3 PUFA levels with a favourable cardiovascular prognosis.1,3 Most of the evidence for benefits has been observed in individuals with high tissue levels of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), the long-chain fatty acids of the family, varying amounts of which are present in different types of fish (table 1).4 The clinical benefits seem to be most pronounced in CHD mortality and SCD, which is 50% lower in men who consume oily fish at least once a week.1,3,5,6

The national recommendation (for primary prevention) remains that people should eat at least two portions of fish per week, one portion of which should be oily fish (box 1).

Fish oil supplementation

For individuals who are unable to consume sufficient omega-3 fatty acids in their diet, or who wish to increase their consumption, there are several highly purified and concentrated fish oil preparations available. One omega-3 long chain PUFA preparation can be prescribed within the UK (Omacor®) for secondary prevention post-myocardial infarction (MI) at a dose of 1 g per day and as a supplement to diet for the management of hypertriglycidaemia.7

Box 1 includes a summary of some of the current guidelines for omega-3 PUFAs and shows there is no consensus on recommendations for supplementation with these agents leading to possible confusion among some healthcare professionals as to their benefit.

Table 1. Food sources of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common dietary sources</th>
<th>EPA, mg/100 g</th>
<th>DPA, mg/100 g</th>
<th>DHA, mg/100 g</th>
<th>EPA+DHA, mg/100 g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herring (Atlantic)</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>2,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon (farmed)</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>1,104</td>
<td>1,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon (wild)</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1,429</td>
<td>1,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trout</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackerel (Atlantic)</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>1,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sardines (Atlantic)</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flounder and sole</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuna*, light (skipjack)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: DHA = docosahexaenoic acid; DPA = docosapentaenoic acid; EPA = eicosapentaenoic acid

Data from: http://www.ars.usda.gov/Services/docs.htm?docid=22115 and Mozaffarian.14 Note: these are average values that might vary due to methodological, geographic, temporal and sample-to-sample differences. *Levels depend on canning and processing methods.
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Box 1. Current guidance on prescribed fish oils

**NICE 2007**

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) post-MI secondary prevention guidance recommends that:
- patients consume at least 7 g of omega-3 fatty acids per week from two to four portions of oily fish
- omega-3 fatty acid supplementation should be considered in patients who have had an MI within three months and who are not achieving dietary intake of at least 7 g of omega-3 fatty acids per week from dietary sources
- the initiation of supplements is not routinely recommended for patients who have had an MI more than three months earlier
- NICE recommendations are currently under review following publication of several recent studies (see below).

**SIGN 2007**

The Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) guidance recommends:
- that all individuals should eat at least two portions of fish per week, one of which should be oily
- there is no recommendation about the use of omega-3 PUFA supplements.

**ESC 2008**

The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) recommends:
- the use of omega-3 fatty acids to lower triglycerides in patients unable to achieve adequate levels on statins alone
- increased consumption of omega-3 fatty acid (oily fish) and supplementation with 1 g per day of fish oil in those with low intake of oily fish
- it notes the role of omega-3 fatty acid supplements in secondary prevention is unclear; quoting a meta-analysis that concluded there was no effect on mortality or cardiovascular events.

**AHA 2008**

The American Heart Association (AHA), recommends increased consumption of omega-3 fatty acids should be encouraged for secondary prevention post-MI. This can be in the form of fish (but should be limited in pregnant or lactating women) or capsules (1 g per day) for risk reduction. The guidance notes that higher doses are usually needed for risk reduction in the treatment of elevated triglycerides.

There is no license for the use of omega-3 supplements post-MI in the USA.

How do fish oils work?

Omega-3 PUFAs are essential dietary components and have a number of biological actions. It is not known exactly how they exert their clinical benefits but they have a multitude of effects (Table 2), reviewed in detail by Mozaffarian and Wu. These include lowering blood pressure, serum triglycerides, inflammatory markers and coagulability; reducing heart rate and increasing heart rate variability; enhancing insulin sensitivity and endothelial function; and anti-arrhythmic and plaque-stabilising effects, which have the potential to improve cardiovascular health and reduce the risk of clinical events. There is also indirect evidence that, by reducing the rate of telomere shortening, omega-3 PUFAs may slow biological ageing.

**Triglyceride lowering**

The well-recognised effect of omega-3 PUFAs on triglyceride lowering shows this to be a linear and dose-dependent effect, but with variable individual dose responses. Doses of at least 2 g/day are required to achieve a meaningful reduction, and greater absolute reductions are seen in those with higher baseline triglyceride levels.

**Antithrombotic effects**

Omega-3 PUFAs are considered to have antithrombotic effects based on observation of increased bleeding times at very high doses (e.g. 15 g/day) but in clinical trials they have shown no consistent effects on platelet aggregation or coagulation factors.

The panel felt that at doses of up to 4 g/day, antithrombotic effects are unlikely to be a major pathway for lower cardiovascular risk, but subtle effects cannot be excluded.

**Endothelial function**

Omega-3 consumption increases nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability with several trials demonstrating improved flow-mediated arterial dilatation, a measure of improved endothelial function. Autonomic function, as a consequence of augmentation of vagal tone may also be improved.

**Heart failure**

Increases in cardiac ejection fraction have been observed in heart failure patients taking omega-3 PUFAs.

**Insulin resistance/anti-inflammatory**

The biological effects of omega-3 PUFAs on insulin resistance (marginally adverse or otherwise) are currently unclear. Similarly, it is not known whether their well-recognised anti-inflammatory effects are clinically meaningful.

**Anti-arrhythmic effects**

The anti-arrhythmic activity of omega-3 PUFAs has been challenging to document in human studies. Animal experiments suggest that omega-3 PUFAs directly influence atrial and ventricular
They noted the data showing a dose-effect with a fish-enriched diet and a reduction in arrhythmias. They agreed there was evidence of health benefits in randomised clinical trials with omega-3 PUFA supplementation, but noted many trials were not conducted on top of a background of contemporary therapy. In addition, the panel noted the use of a wide range of supplement preparations and dietary interventions which had provided variable doses of omega-3 intake, making the clinical relevance of their effects difficult to interpret, not only for patients, but also for some healthcare professionals.

What have the clinical trials of fish oils shown us?

The panel reviewed clinical studies with omega-3 PUFAs. Early promise shown in clinical studies, most notably in GISSI-P, has not been borne out in more recent studies, which – with the exception of the JELIS and GISSI-HF studies – have shown equivocal results.

The majority of intervention studies in those at high cardiovascular risk show a beneficial impact of omega-3 supplementation on major cardiovascular events, although heterogeneity between studies has been noted. Large-scale randomised trial data are most compelling for omega-3 supplementation in the post-MI setting.

GISSI-P

The largest of these, the GISSI-P (GISSI-Prevention) study (n=11,324 randomised to omega-3 PUFAs within three months of MI, demonstrated relative risk reductions in overall mortality, cardiac mortality and SCD of 20%, 30% and 45%, respectively, with 1 g/day of highly purified omega-3 acid ethyl esters (Omacor®) over a 3.5-year period. Absolute risk reductions over the same period were 2.1%, 2% and 1.6% for overall mortality, cardiac mortality and SCD, respectively. Significant benefits of supplementation emerged within three to four months and were most marked in those with more extensive left ventricular dysfunction. Considered together, these data suggest a reduction in ventricular arrhythmia as the likely mechanism of benefit.

JELIS

Results from JELIS (Japanese Eicosapentaenoic Acid Lipid Intervention Study), an open-label, blinded analysis conducted in Japan, showed that 1.8 g/day of EPA reduced combined cardiovascular events. About 36% of the subjects recruited were hypersensitive, 20% had coronary artery disease, and 15% had diabetes. Review of the individual component end points in JELIS demonstrated that the main driver of benefit was reduction in coronary instability, suggesting benefits on clinical lesion progression, destabilisation and thrombosis. No effect on the incidence of arrhythmia was noted, although such events were extremely rare in this Japanese population and the study was not powered to evaluate this end point.

OMEGA

Recommendations supporting intake of 1 g/day omega-3 PUFAs in those with established coronary artery disease, including the post-MI setting, are currently under review by NICE following publication of several recent studies. The German OMEGA (Effect of Omega 3-Fatty Acids on the Reduction of Sudden Cardiac Death After Myocardial Infarction) study randomised over 3,000 patients recruited early post-MI to 1 g Omacor® or placebo to examine the impact on SCD within the first year. Although the study outcome was neutral, it was substantially underpowered and the results should, therefore, be interpreted with caution. It was designed with the expectation of a 45% reduction in the relative risk of SCD after one year with omega-3 treatment as seen in GISSI-P (which had a duration of three years). But due to far lower than planned event rates (1.5% actual vs. 3.5%, which was expected based on the results from GISSI-P) and without changing the number of subjects recruited or the duration of follow-up, the study was estimated to have a power of only 45% or less to address the primary hypothesis.

Alpha Omega

Similarly, Alpha Omega (Study of Omega-3 Fatty Acids and Coronary Mortality), which studied omega-3 PUFA supplemented margarine in post-MI patients, was also neutral. This study was also underpowered. The study looked at relatively low-dose supplementation with either EPA+DHA or ALA (add doses), EPA+DHA+ALA or a control margarine in just under 5,000 patients who had suffered an MI an average of 2.5 years previously. The study was powered to show a 25% reduction in death due to CHD and...
it was reported in the online appendix that the final study power to address this end point was approximately 35%. The primary comparisons reported in the manuscript were also unusual, comparing outcomes in EPA+DHA versus ALA + placebo and ALA versus EPA+DHA + placebo rather than comparisons of the omega-3 supplement groups (alone or in combination) versus placebo alone.

GISSI-HF study
GISSI-HF (GISSI-Heart Failure), a large and adequately powered trial looked at omega-3 PUFAs in heart failure. Results demonstrated a statistically significant and clinically important reduction of all-cause mortality, despite the modest relative risk reduction, with 1 g Omacor® in a contemporary heart failure population. Omega-3 PUFA supplementation showed an absolute risk reduction of 1.8% in all-cause mortality seen over two years of follow-up. It was felt that half of this benefit was due to a reduction in arrhythmic deaths with the other half due to reduction of admission for ventricular arrhythmia. There was little benefit in ischaemomembolic events, such as MI and stroke. A subsequent pharmacoeconomic evaluation of the GISSI-HF data suggests that this dose of Omacor® is a cost-effective intervention in patients with heart failure.21

Cochrane meta-analysis
A Cochrane review, published in 2009,22 concluded that it was still not clear whether dietary or supplemental omega-3 PUFAs, either from fish or vegetable sources, did reduce total deaths or cardiovascular events in both patients with cardiovascular disease or those at risk of developing the disease. This conflicting evidence is reflected in the various meta-analyses on the possible outcomes of fish or omega-3 PUFA consumption and cardiovascular disease (CVD) outcomes.13 The wide range of study patient characteristics, together with the varying nature and dose of supplement, as well as trial size and quality, all contribute to the lack of clarity in this context.

The panel felt that recent post-MI studies have been inadequate in their design to elucidate the role of omega-3 PUFA supplements in a contemporary post-MI cohort. They noted recent studies in this area were often underpowered, were likely to be using suboptimal doses and may not have been conducted for long enough to show any benefit. It was reassuring, the panel felt, that the more recent JELIS and GISSI-HF studies, which were adequately powered and allowed several years of follow-up, demonstrated positive results.

What trials should be undertaken to address information ‘gaps’?
A number of trials are planned or underway that may fill some of the gaps in our understanding of intervention with omega-3 PUFAs in cardiovascular disease in contemporary populations.

Data from the ORIGIN (Outcome Reduction with an Initial Glargine Intervention) and the GISSI-R&P (Rischio & Prevenzione) studies, looking at 1 g/day Omacor® in at-risk patients with insulin resistance and high global CVD risk, respectively, should report later this year and may shed further light on the potential for additional event reduction with omega-3 PUFA supplementation in contemporary CVD prevention.

GISSI-R&P
The GISSI-R&P study, launched in 2004, is an ongoing large-scale, randomised-controlled trial conducted in Italian general practice to assess the efficacy and safety of omega-3 PUFAs in reducing cardiovascular mortality (including sudden death) and hospitalisation for cardiovascular reasons in patients at high CVD risk but with no history of MI. The secondary epidemiological aim is to assess the feasibility of adopting current guidelines in everyday clinical practice in order to optimise all available preventive strategies in people at high cardiovascular risk.

ASCEND
The randomised study ASCEND (A Study of Cardiovascular Events in Diabetics), should provide the first reliable evidence about the effects of aspirin and of omega-3 PUFAs in type 1 and 2 diabetes. It has recruited 15,000 people with diabetes who were not known to have vascular disease. Funded by the British Heart Foundation, it is being coordinated by the University of Oxford Clinical Trial Service Unit and is scheduled to continue until 2017.

VITAL
VITAL (VITamin D and OmegA-3 Trial), underway at Brigham and Women’s Hospital and Harvard Medical School in Boston, Massachusetts, USA, is looking at supplementation in 20,000 men and women across the USA. It is investigating whether taking daily dietary supplements of vitamin D3 (2,000 IU) or omega-3 PUFAs reduces the risk for developing cancer, heart disease, and stroke in people who do not have a prior history of these illnesses. The trial is expected to be completed in 2016.

OPERA
OPERA (The Omega-3 Fatty Acids for the Prevention of Post-operative Atrial Fibrillation), due to complete later this year, is another ongoing large, randomised-controlled trial designed to investigate the effects of omega-3 PUFAs in the major public health challenge of atrial fibrillation. Such large, well-designed studies in key selected patient populations will undoubtedly clarify the role of omega-3 PUFAs in the treatment and prevention of CVD.

The panel felt that due to the limitations of past research, randomised-controlled trials and studies should be revisited and the evidence re-assessed, particularly looking at subgroups of patients who may benefit from omega-3 PUFA supplementation.

Appropriate recommendations for the use of omega-3 PUFAs could only be made, they felt, from high-quality evidence in the form of meta-analysis or systematic reviews of randomised-controlled trials, or randomised-controlled trials with a low risk of bias.

How are fish oils currently used in the UK?
Since publication of the NICE guidance, the uptake of omega-3 PUFA supplementation...
for post-MI secondary prevention has been slow, but steady, with prescriptions increasing from 75,000 items per quarter in 2006 to approximately 180,000 per quarter in June 2011; this reflects an increase in NHS expenditure in this area from £1.7 million per quarter to over £4 million per quarter.23

Over the past two years, primary care trusts seeking to identify potential savings on the prescribing budget have begun to locally determine the role of omega-3 supplementation in practice. Some PCTs no longer recommend Omacor® for routine prescribing post-MI; although use for the management of hypertriglyceridaemia is still endorsed, more commonly as a second-line agent. A brief internet search carried out by the panel highlighted similar recommendations in many areas. This practice raised concern among the panel that sweeping general recommendations, driven primarily by prescribing cost-pressures, may lead to misinformation as well as restrict appropriate and potentially important treatment options at an individual patient level.

Prescribers and barriers to prescription

In clinical practice, it appears that the prescribing of Omacor® is inconsistent (table 3). Some cardiologists prescribe it in most patients post-MI, others use it only in selected patients (e.g. those with a low fish intake in their diet); while others do not prescribe it at all or cannot, due to local prescribing restrictions.

A number of barriers to omega-3 PUFA prescribing have been identified. Current barriers to use include:

- local guidelines prohibiting or discouraging primary care prescribing
- lack of clinical champions
- poor perception of the data in support of omega-3 supplementation, including lack of recent ‘good news’ stories
- QIPP plans to make savings on the prescribing budget, in which omega-3 supplements are seen as an ‘easy win’.

While costs of prescribing omega-3 PUFAs in the post-MI setting may act as a disincentive to prescribing, some simple health economic modelling suggests a ‘return on investment’. Applying the all-cause mortality end point from GISSI-P,16 which demonstrated a 2.1% average risk reduction (ARR), to a PCT population of 500,000, it can be estimated that total acute coronary syndrome (ACS) events would be circa 2,000 per annum (0.4% incidence) in this population. Assuming an uptake of Omacor® of 40% (i.e. 800 patients treated per annum), annual costs of treatment of this cohort would be £137,000 (or £478,000 over 3.5 years as per GISSI-P). Thus, the number of deaths avoided would be 17, making the cost per death avoided as £28,000. If the avoidance of second events is also taken into consideration, the cost per death avoided is even lower.

The panel felt that the current inconsistent use of omega-3 PUFAs in the UK was due to the emergence of recent equivocal evidence, due to poor trial design, and also local barriers to use. The panel thought that in clinical situations where healthcare professionals wanted to prescribe omega-3 PUFAs, there were good cost-effectiveness data to present to formulary groups to support this management strategy. Considering the data from the GISSI-P and the GISSI-HF studies, the case for use of omega-3 supplementation in the post-MI setting would appear to be strongest for those patients with left ventricular (LV) dysfunction in terms of both clinical- and cost-effectiveness. The panel noted a recent review,24 which stated current guidelines suggest the potential value of omega-3 fatty acids supplementation in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) or after MI, and possibly in those with heart failure.

Dietary recommendations for increased fish consumption, the panel felt, should continue to be encouraged. The panel noted that although fish intake is increasing slightly in the UK, a recent survey shows this remains low, particularly that of oily fish. During the four-day survey period, oily fish was not eaten by 64% and 77% of participants aged over 65 years, and 19–64 years, respectively, while mean consumption was well below one portion per week in all age groups. Where oily fish was consumed, mean intake per day across the entire sample was 43 g in adults over 65 years, and 19–64 year olds.25

Figure 2. The cardiovascular disease continuum – the possible beneficial effects ofomega-3 fatty acids on this process is not fully understood

### Table 3. Who uses Omacor®?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prescribers and indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some cardiologists post-myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some cardiologists in heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiologists/lipidologists for hypertriglyceridaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation nurses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Recommend to general practitioners (GPs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to initiate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– For cardiovascular secondary prevention,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if advised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Possibly for hypertriglyceridaemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key messages

- While we are uncertain how they work, there are persuasive data that omega-3 PUFAs reduce the risk of cardiac death.
- Since many earlier trials were poorly designed or conducted before the availability of optimal background treatments (such as statins), reassessment of randomised-controlled clinical trials is warranted to determine whether selected patient groups may particularly benefit from omega-3 PUFAs.
- Larger studies in specific groups, such as patients with metabolic syndrome/diabetes or in heart failure post-MI, may clarify the cardiovascular benefits of prescribed omega-3 PUFAs.
- These research ‘gaps’ along with cost issues in a tightening health economy, provide barriers to prescription of an agent, which may have many potential beneficial effects in the cardiovascular disease continuum (figure 2), that are yet to be elucidated.
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